

## Appendix A3: Durham Community Survey – Lewinsky Panel 1997-2000

### Endogenous Variables

*Authoritarianism<sub>2000</sub>*: Formed by counting up the number of authoritarian choices made between three pairs of child-rearing values, in response to the instruction: “Next we have some questions about the qualities that people think we should try to encourage in children. I’m going to read out pairs of qualities that one might try to encourage in children. You may well think that both qualities are important, or that neither quality is important. Still, for each pair of qualities, please tell me which one of the two you think is more important for a child to have. Is it more important that a child obeys his parents, or that he is responsible for his own actions? Is it more important that he has respect for his elders, or that he thinks for himself? Is it more important that he follows his own conscience, or that he follows the rules?”. As always, final measure rescored to be of one unit range.

*Political Conservatism<sub>2000</sub>*: Seven-point scale formed from responses to the question: “Next we would like to know how liberal or conservative you consider yourself to be. Do you consider yourself to be very liberal, quite liberal, somewhat liberal, moderate, somewhat conservative, quite conservative, or very conservative?”.

*‘Right-Wing’ Party Identification<sub>2000</sub>*: Seven-point scale formed from responses to the questions: “Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent, or what?”, followed as appropriate by either “Would you call yourself a strong (Republican/Democrat), or a not very strong (Republican/Democrat)?” or “Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican or Democratic party?”.

### Explanatory Variables

*Authoritarianism<sub>1997</sub>*: Measured on the *DCS97* as per Appendix A1, but for these analyses of the panel data retaining only the three pairs of child-rearing values matching those that were asked again on the fifth wave in November 2000 (see above).

*Political Conservatism<sub>1997</sub>, ‘Right-Wing’ Party Identification<sub>1997</sub>, Overall Perception of Normative Threat<sub>1997</sub>*: All measured on the *DCS97* as per Appendix A1.

## Appendix A3: DCS – Lewinsky Panel 1997-2000

**Table A3.1: Univariate Statistics**

Endogenous Variables		
	<i>mean(sd)</i>	<i>range</i>
Authoritarianism <sub>2000</sub> ( <i>three-item child-rearing values measure</i> )	.57(.39)	0 -- 1
Political conservatism <sub>2000</sub>	.54(.26)	0 -- 1
'Right-wing' party identification <sub>2000</sub>	.38(.36)	0 -- 1
Explanatory Variables		
	<i>mean(sd)</i>	<i>range</i>
Authoritarianism <sub>1997</sub> ( <i>abridged three-item child-rearing values measure</i> )	.53(.41)	0 -- 1
Political conservatism <sub>1997</sub>	.53(.27)	0 -- 1
'Right-wing' party identification <sub>1997</sub>	.43(.35)	0 -- 1
Overall perception of normative threat <sub>1997</sub>	.00(.19)	-.37 -- .63

Source: DCS-Lewinsky Panel 197-00, Whites only, N=121.

## Appendix A3: DCS – Lewinsky Panel 1997-2000

**Table A3.2: Influence of Predisposition<sub>1997</sub> on Predisposition<sub>2000</sub>**

<i>Endogenous Variables</i> →	Predisposition <sub>2000</sub>		
<i>↓ Explanatory Variables</i>	Authoritarianism <sub>2000</sub>	Political Conservatism <sub>2000</sub>	'Right-Wing' Party Identification <sub>2000</sub>
Predisposition <sub>1997</sub>	.64(.06)**	.67(.08)**	.68(.07)**
Overall perception of normative threat <sub>1997</sub>	-.16(.13)	-.26(.10)**	-.20(.13)
Predisposition <sub>1997</sub> *overall normative threat <sub>1997</sub>	.41(.24)**	-.25(.33)	-.48(.41)
constant	.05(.03)*	.01(.02)	-.06(.02)**
<i>R</i> <sup>2</sup>	.50	.51	.47

Note: Cell entries are unstandardized OLS multiple regression coefficients (standard errors in parentheses). \*\* p < .05, \* p < .10 (one-tailed tests applied as appropriate). See Table A3.1 for univariate statistics.

Source: *DCS-Lewinsky Panel 197-00*, Whites only, N=121 (first wave 1997 respondents re-interviewed in fifth wave 2000).