

## Appendix A2: Durham Community Survey -- In-Depth Interviews 1997

### Measures of Overall Quality of the Discussion:

*Reading ease of subject's discussion:* Indicates the 'reading ease' of the subject's discussion in the interview considered as a whole. The Flesch 'reading ease' score upon which I rely is an objective measure of the 'readability' of any document (here, the interview transcript, subject's words only), which can be routinely provided by the *Word* word-processing program for any selected text. *Word's* explanation of the nature and derivation of this score, extracted *verbatim* from the program's 'Help' files, is as follows:

The Flesch Reading Ease score rates text on a 100-point scale; the higher the score, the easier it is to understand the document. For most standard documents, aim for a score of approximately 60 to 70. The formula for the Flesch Reading Ease score is  $206.835 - (1.015 \times \text{ASL}) - (84.6 \times \text{ASW})$

where:

ASL = average sentence length (the number of words divided by the number of sentences)

ASW = average number of syllables per word (the number of syllables divided by the number of words)

*Grade level of subject's discussion:* Indicates the 'grade level' of the subject's discussion in the interview considered as a whole. The Flesch-Kincaid 'grade level' score is, again, an objective measure provided by *Word* of the 'readability' of any selected text. *Word's* explanation of this score is as follows:

Rates text on a U.S. grade-school level. For example, a score of 8.0 means that an eighth grader can understand the document. For most standard documents, aim for a score of approximately 7.0 to 8.0. The formula for the Flesch-Kincaid grade level score is  $(.39 \times \text{ASL}) + (11.8 \times \text{ASW}) - 15.59$ .

## Appendix A2: DCS – In-Depth Interviews 1997

**Table A2.1: Univariate Statistics**

Endogenous Variables		
<i>Scale Variables<sub>Nov. '97</sub></i>	<i>mean(sd)</i>	<i>range</i>
<i>Primary Interviewer's Ratings</i>		
a1. reluctance to be interviewed	.37(.34)	0 – 1
a2. suspicion about taking part	.38(.27)	0 – 1
a3. hostility as tried to organize meeting	.25(.25)	0 – 1
a4. deception as tried to organize meeting	.19(.21)	0 – 1
a5. interest in the money to be paid	.32(.30)	0 – 1
a6. payment amount demanded (\$)	13.38(4.72)	10 -- 30
b1. reluctance once interviewers arrived	.24(.21)	0 -- .83
b2. suspicion about taking part	.35(.24)	0 – 1
b3. hostility toward primary interviewer	.21(.17)	0 -- .50
b4. hostility toward the interview partner	.26(.18)	0 -- .67
b5. interest in the money to be paid	.31(.32)	0 – 1
b6. dishonesty, guardedness in responses	.23(.20)	0 -- .83
b7. interest in the topics discussed	.65(.21)	0 – 1
b8. apparent intelligence	.73(.22)	.17 – 1
b9. self-confidence	.69(.22)	0 – 1
b10. anxiety during the interview	.37(.27)	0 – 1
b11. happiness in general demeanor	.65(.24)	0 – 1
b12. satisfaction with own life	.71(.23)	.17 – 1
b13. satisfaction with world in general	.60(.14)	.17 -- .83
b14. openness to experience	.62(.26)	0 – 1
b15. how appealing as a person	.65(.26)	0 – 1
b16. apparent socio-economic status	.67(.22)	.17 – 1
b17. overall success of the interview	.79(.21)	0 – 1
<i>Interview Partner's Ratings</i>		
c1. reluctance once interviewers arrived	.26(.19)	0 -- .83
c2. suspicion about taking part	.34(.27)	0 – 1
c3. hostility toward primary interviewer	.26(.18)	0 -- .83
c4. hostility toward the interview partner	.28(.16)	0 -- .50
c5. interest in the money to be paid	.36(.32)	0 – 1
c6. dishonesty, guardedness in responses	.27(.22)	0 -- .83
c7. interest in the topics discussed	.66(.20)	.17 – 1
c8. apparent intelligence	.66(.22)	0 – 1
c9. self-confidence	.69(.23)	0 – 1
c10. anxiety during the interview	.34(.26)	0 – 1
c11. happiness in general demeanor	.66(.21)	.17 – 1
c12. satisfaction with own life	.70(.20)	.17 – 1
c13. satisfaction with world in general	.54(.18)	.17 -- .83
c14. openness to experience	.53(.29)	0 – 1
c15. how appealing as a person	.59(.29)	0 – 1
c16. apparent socio-economic status	.60(.19)	.17 – 1
c17. overall success of the interview	.75(.22)	.17 – 1

## Appendix A2: DCS – In-Depth Interviews 1997

<i>Overall Qualities of the Discussion</i>		
d1. Total number of words	2089(1313)	500 – 5596
d2. Number of different words	885(550)	192 – 2586
d3. Characters per word	4.02(.15)	3.7 – 4.34
d4. Grade level of discussion	6.15(2.07)	2.9 – 10.7
d5. Reading ease of discussion	78.61(7.37)	62.1 – 91.3
<i>Thematic Emphases of the Discussion</i>		
e1. Diversity, difference, non-conformity	.46(1.09)	-.87 – 4.69
e2. Freedom, autonomy & choice	-.31(.48)	-.86 – 1.15
e3. Consensus, similarity & affinity	-.47(.45)	-1.03 -- .89
e4. Exclusion, isolation & disconnection	-.11(.50)	-.87 – 1.16
e5. Criticism, denigration & complaint	.57(1.00)	-.71 – 4.14
e6. Aggression, domination & force	-.77(.25)	-1.18 -- .12
<i>Categorical Variables<sub>Nov. '97</sub></i>		<i>% in category</i>
b0. S claims was not paid prior wave		12.5%
Explanatory Variables		
<i>Scale Variables<sub>Mar. '97</sub></i>		
	<i>mean(sd)</i>	<i>range</i>
Authoritarianism	.00(.40)	-.45 -- .55
<i>Categorical Variables<sub>Nov. '97</sub></i>		<i>% in category</i>
Primary interviewer was Black		35%
Interview partner was Black		37.5%
Either interviewer was Black		62.5%

Source: *DCS-In-Depth97*, N=40 (all subjects interviewed), except a1-a5, where N=46 (all subjects initially contacted).

## Appendix A2: DCS – In-Depth Interviews 1997

**Table A2.2: Outcomes of Primary Interviewer’s Attempts to Obtain the Interview**

DVs	Bivariate model:		Multivariate model:			
	$Y=b_0+b_1(\text{authoritarianism})$		$Y=b_0+b_1(\text{auth.})+b_2(\text{Black primary})+b_3(\text{auth.}*\text{Black primary})$			
	b <sub>0</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>1</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>0</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>1</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>2</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>3</sub> (s.e.)
a1.	.37(.05)**	.24(.12)**	.37(.06)**	.07(.15)	.08(.10)	.56(.26)**
a2.	.38(.04)**	.13(.10)	.38(.06)**	.04(.15)	.05(.07)	.30(.19)*
a3.	.25(.04)**	.06(.10)	.25(.05)**	-.06(.15)	.09(.06)	.43(.17)**
a4.	.19(.03)**	.05(.07)	.15(.04)**	.10(.07)*	.12(.06)**	--
a5.	.32(.04)**	.22(.10)**	.28(.05)**	.19(.14)*	.18(.08)**	.25(.21)
a6.	13.38(.69)*	4.72(1.76)*	12.72(.87)*	4.20(2.18)*	2.53(1.53)	4.17(3.90)
	*	*	*	*		

Note: Cell entries are unstandardized OLS regression coefficients, \*\* p < .05, \* p < .10 (one-tailed tests applied as appropriate), -- indicates variable dropped for lack of effect. See Table A2.1 for univariate statistics. See Table 7.2 for conditional coefficients calculated from these results. Source: *DCS-In-Depth97*, N=46 (all subjects initially contacted), except a6, where N=40 (all subjects interviewed).

## Appendix A2: DCS – In-Depth Interviews 1997

**Table A2.3: Primary Interviewer’s Impressions From the Interview**

DV <sub>s</sub>	Bivariate model: $Y=b_0+b_1(\text{authoritarianism})$		Multivariate model: $Y=b_0+b_1(\text{auth})+b_2(\text{Black primary})+b_3(\text{Black partner})+b_4(\text{auth*Bl. primary})+b_5(\text{auth*Bl. partner})$					
	b <sub>0</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>1</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>0</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>1</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>2</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>3</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>4</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>5</sub> (s.e.)
	b0.	.13(.05)**	.25(.11)**	.23(.09)**	.54(.22)**	--	-.17(.11)	--
b1.	.31(.05)**	.24(.12)**	.26(.06)**	.30(.12)**	.16(.10)	--	--	--
b2.	.24(.03)**	.22(.08)**	.21(.06)**	.16(.13)	.09(.07)	.03(.08)	.23(.18)*	--
b3.	.35(.04)**	.17(.09)**	.35(.04)**	.17(.09)**	--	--	--	--
b4.	.21(.03)**	.11(.07)*	.17(.04)**	.03(.11)	.12(.06)**	.01(.05)	.21(.15)*	.12(.12)
b5.	.26(.03)**	.09(.07)	.23(.04)**	-.08(.11)	-.01(.06)	.04(.05)	.08(.15)	.29(.12)**
b6.	.23(.03)**	.19(.08)**	.20(.04)**	.22(.08)**	.10(.06)*	--	--	--
b7.	.65(.03)**	-.15(.08)**	.64(.06)**	-.27(.12)**	-.07(.07)	.04(.06)	--	.19(.13)
b8.	.73(.03)**	-.26(.08)**	.73(.03)**	-.26(.08)**	--	--	--	--
b9.	.69(.03)**	-.19(.08)**	.69(.03)**	-.19(.08)**	--	--	--	--
b10.	.37(.04)**	.35(.09)**	.29(.06)**	.33(.11)**	.11(.08)	.12(.08)	--	--
b11.	.65(.04)**	-.15(.09)**	.65(.04)**	-.15(.09)**	--	--	--	--
b12.	.71(.04)**	-.07(.09)	.74(.07)**	.02(.15)	-.07(.07)	-.05(.09)	-.27(.18)	--
b13.	.60(.02)**	-.08(.05)**	.62(.03)**	-.07(.05)*	-.07(.04)	--	-.10(.09)	--
b14.	.62(.03)**	-.43(.07)**	.62(.03)**	-.43(.07)**	--	--	--	--
b15.	.65(.03)**	-.37(.08)**	.74(.06)**	-.28(.12)**	-.16(.07)**	-.12(.09)	-.24(.18)*	--
b16.	.67(.04)**	-.09(.09)	.67(.04)**	-.09(.09)	<i>n.a.</i>	<i>n.a.</i>	<i>n.a.</i>	<i>n.a.</i>
b17.	.79(.03)**	-.21(.08)**	.79(.03)**	-.21(.08)**	--	--	--	--

Note: Cell entries are unstandardized OLS regression coefficients, \*\* p < .05, \* p < .10 (one-tailed tests applied as appropriate), -- indicates variable dropped for lack of effect, *n.a.* indicates not applicable. See Table A2.1 for univariate statistics. See Table 7.3 for conditional coefficients calculated from these results.

Source: *DCS-In-Depth97*, N=40 (all subjects interviewed).



## Appendix A2: DCS – In-Depth Interviews 1997

**Table A2.4: Interview Partner’s Impressions From the Interview**

DV s	Bivariate model: $Y=b_0+b_1(\text{authoritarianism})$		Multivariate model: $Y=b_0+b_1(\text{auth})+b_2(\text{Black primary})+b_3(\text{Black partner})+b_4(\text{auth*Bl. primary})+b_5(\text{auth*Bl. partner})$					
	$b_0(\text{s.e.})$	$b_1(\text{s.e.})$	$b_0(\text{s.e.})$	$b_1(\text{s.e.})$	$b_2(\text{s.e.})$	$b_3(\text{s.e.})$	$b_4(\text{s.e.})$	$b_5(\text{s.e.})$
c1.	.36(.05)**	.21(.12)**	.36(.05)**	.21(.12)**	--	--	--	--
c2.	.26(.03)**	.17(.07)**	.26(.03)**	.17(.07)**	--	--	--	--
c3.	.34(.04)**	.10(.11)	.34(.06)**	.01(.17)	--	-.04(.08)	--	.27(.20)*
c4.	.26(.03)**	.08(.07)	.21(.04)**	-.04(.12)	.09(.06)	-.01(.05)	.15(.16)	.28(.13)**
c5.	.28(.02)**	.14(.06)**	.25(.04)**	-.04(.11)	-.02(.05)	.05(.04)	.10(.13)	.27(.11)**
c6.	.27(.03)**	.16(.08)**	.25(.05)**	-.03(.14)	-.02(.08)	.08(.08)	.26(.19)*	.14(.20)
c7.	.66(.03)**	-.15(.08)**	.66(.03)**	-.15(.08)**	--	--	--	--
c8.	.66(.03)**	-.35(.07)**	.66(.03)**	-.35(.07)**	--	--	--	--
c9.	.69(.04)**	-.08(.10)	.73(.04)**	.04(.12)	--	-.03(.06)	--	-.26(.17)*
c10.	.34(.04)**	.21(.10)**	.25(.05)**	-.04(.14)	.06(.08)	.08(.07)	.05(.21)	.56(.16)**
c11.	.66(.03)**	-.28(.06)**	.66(.06)**	-.21(.13)*	.01(.06)	-.02(.07)	-.15(.14)	-.02(.16)
c12.	.70(.03)**	-.20(.07)**	.70(.03)**	-.20(.07)**	--	--	--	--
c13.	.54(.03)**	-.19(.07)**	.54(.03)**	-.19(.07)**	--	--	--	--
c14.	.53(.03)**	-.47(.08)**	.53(.03)**	-.47(.08)**	--	--	--	--
c15.	.59(.04)**	-.33(.10)**	.67(.05)**	-.13(.14)	--	-.15(.07)**	--	-.29(.17)**
c16.	.60(.03)**	-.12(.07)**	.60(.03)**	-.12(.07)**	<i>n.a.</i>	<i>n.a.</i>	<i>n.a.</i>	<i>n.a.</i>
c17.	.75(.03)**	-.17(.09)**	.80(.04)**	-.00(.12)	-.01(.08)	-.05(.06)	-.04(.20)	-.33(.17)**

Note: Cell entries are unstandardized OLS regression coefficients, \*\* p < .05, \* p < .10 (one-tailed tests applied as appropriate), -- indicates variable dropped for lack of effect, *n.a.* indicates not applicable. See Table A2.1 for univariate statistics. See Table 7.4 for conditional coefficients calculated from these results.

Source: *DCS-In-Depth97*, N=40.

## Appendix A2: DCS – In-Depth Interviews 1997

**Table A2.5: Overall Characteristics of the Discussion**

DV s	Bivariate model: $Y=b_0+b_1(\text{authoritarianism})$		Multivariate model: $Y=b_0+b_1(\text{auth})+b_2(\text{Black primary})+b_3(\text{Black partner})+b_4(\text{auth*Bl. primary})+b_5(\text{auth*Bl. partner})$					
	b <sub>0</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>1</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>0</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>1</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>2</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>3</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>4</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>5</sub> (s.e.)
d1.	2089(194)**	-1388(498)**	2089(194)**	-1388(498)**	--	--	--	--
d2.	885(81)**	-602(207)**	885(81)**	-602(207)**	--	--	--	--
d3.	4.02(.02)**	-.12(.06)**	4.02(.02)**	-.12(.06)**	--	--	--	--
d4.	6.11(.29)**	-2.68(.76)**	5.39(.34)**	-1.23(.79)*	.90(.52)*	.53(.55)	-3.98(1.36)**	--
d5.	78.76(.97)**	10.91(2.54)**	81.56(1.26)**	7.77(2.89)**	-3.92(1.78)**	-2.60(1.65)	8.74(4.61)**	--
e1.	.46(.15)**	-1.37(.40)**	.46(.15)**	-1.37(.40)**	--	--	--	--
e2.	-.31(.08)**	-.12(.22)	-.51(.06)**	-.05(.18)	.08(.10)	.49(.17)**	-.44(.32)*	-.37(.53)
e3.	-.47(.07)**	-.23(.17)	-.42(.08)**	-.33(.18)*	-.05(.15)	-.05(.18)	.33(.37)	--
e4.	-.11(.08)	-.15(.21)	-.30(.10)**	-.70(.23)**	.38(.14)**	.35(.15)**	1.42(.38)**	--
e5.	.57(.16)**	-.44(.39)	.46(.19)**	-1.58(.55)**	.01(.26)	.05(.30)	1.11(.64)**	1.93(.58)**
e6.	-.77(.04)**	.13(.10)	-.82(.05)**	-.05(.13)	--	.03(.09)	--	.43(.23)**

Note: Cell entries are unstandardized OLS regression coefficients, \*\* p < .05, \* p < .10 (one-tailed tests applied as appropriate), -- indicates variable dropped for lack of effect. See Table A2.1 for univariate statistics. See Table 7.5 for conditional coefficients calculated from these results.

Source: *DCS-In-Depth97*, N=40.

## Appendix A2: DCS – In-Depth Interviews 1997

**Table A2.6: Interview Conduct and Interactions**

DVs	Bivariate model:		Multivariate model:					
	$Y=b_0+b_1(\text{authoritarianism})$		$Y=b_0+b_1(\text{auth})+b_2(\text{Black primary})+b_3(\text{Black partner})+b_4(\text{auth*Bl. primary})+b_5(\text{auth*Bl. partner})$					
	b <sub>0</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>1</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>0</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>1</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>2</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>3</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>4</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>5</sub> (s.e.)
f1.	.28(.07)**	.37(.17)**	.21(.08)**	.23(.20)	.30(.13)**	--	.73(.32)**	--
f2.	.18(.06)**	.06(.17)	.14(.07)*	-.13(.20)	--	-.03(.10)	--	.52(.29)**
f3.	.38(.07)**	.43(.18)**	.08(.08)	.26(.21)	.48(.13)**	.20(.16)	--	.61(.38)*
f4.	.48(.07)**	-.71(.16)**	.43(.10)**	-.69(.26)**	--	.20(.13)	--	-.33(.27)
f5.	.10(.05)**	.01(.14)	.06(.07)	-.39(.23)*	.03(.12)	.05(.07)	.54(.33)**	.51(.25)**
f6.	.10(.05)**	-.01(.13)	.06(.04)	-.17(.12)	--	.03(.07)	--	.38(.21)**
f7.	.28(.07)**	.13(.18)	.17(.07)**	-.25(.19)	--	.11(.12)	--	.82(.29)**
f8.	.15(.05)**	.30(.15)**	.05(.04)	.10(.18)	.10(.12)	.04(.11)	--	.55(.29)**
f9.	.13(.05)**	.25(.15)**	.09(.07)	.09(.19)	--	-.00(.09)	--	.39(.30)*
f10.	.25(.07)**	-.17(.19)	.20(.10)**	-.19(.25)	.01(.12)	-.15(.11)	-.60(.32)*	.79(.31)**
g1. <sup>a</sup>	.12(.07)	.32(.18)**	.12(.07)	.32(.18)**	<i>n.a.a</i>	--	<i>n.a.a</i>	--
g2.	.10(.04)**	.28(.12)**	-.02(.03)	-.05(.08)	.07(.10)	.17(.08)*	.16(.26)	.52(.23)**
g3.	.08(.04)*	.20(.11)**	-.03(.03)	-.07(.09)	.09(.10)	.14(.08)*	.24(.27)	.38(.21)**
g4.	.30(.07)**	-.45(.18)**	.30(.07)**	-.45(.18)**	--	--	--	--
g5.	.20(.06)**	-.32(.15)**	.20(.06)**	-.32(.15)**	--	--	--	--

Note: Cell entries are unstandardized OLS regression coefficients, \*\* p < .05, \* p < .10 (one-tailed tests applied as appropriate), -- indicates variable dropped for lack of effect. See Table A2.1 for univariate statistics. See Table 7.6 for conditional coefficients calculated from these results.

<sup>a</sup> Naturally, the analysis here includes only those subjects interviewed by a Black primary interviewer (N=14); *n.a.* then indicates not applicable.

Source: *DCS-In-Depth97*, N=40.

## Appendix A2: DCS – In-Depth Interviews 1997

**Table A2.7: Personality and Demeanor**

DVs	Bivariate model: $Y=b_0+b_1(\text{authoritarianism})$		Multivariate model: $Y=b_0+b_1(\text{auth})+b_2(\text{Black primary})+b_3(\text{Black partner})+b_4(\text{auth*Bl. primary})+b_5(\text{auth*Bl. partner})$ ~or~ $Y=b_0+b_1(\text{authoritarianism})+b_{23}(\text{either interviewer Black})+b_{45}(\text{auth*either interviewer Black})$					
	b <sub>0</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>1</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>0</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>1</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>2</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>3</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>4</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>5</sub> (s.e.)
	h1.	.13(.05)**	.26(.11)**	.13(.05)**	.26(.11)**	--	--	--
h2.	.13(.05)**	-.35(.13)**	.13(.05)**	-.35(.13)**	--	--	--	--
h3.	.18(.06)**	.12(.15)	.05(.06)	-.21(.18)	.02(.13)	.21(.12)*	.08(.34)	.51(.27)**
h4.	.28(.07)**	-.40(.17)**	.21(.08)**	-.49(.18)**	--	.16(.15)	--	--
h5.	.13(.05)**	.15(.13)	.05(.05)	-.17(.17)	.09(.08)		.47(.23)**	
h6.	.18(.06)**	-.25(.14)**	.26(.11)**	.00(.21)	-.03(.11)	-.26(.11)**	-.38(.31)	--
h7.	.20(.06)**	.27(.17)*	.07(.10)	-.07(.29)	.15(.13)	.10(.12)	.26(.36)	.64(.33)**
h8.	.75(.07)**	-.31(.17)**	.81(.10)**	-.18(.27)	-.33(.15)**	.07(.16)	-.66(.38)**	-.16(.39)
h9.	.08(.04)*	.18(.10)*	-.00(.00)	-.00(.00)	.11(.06)*		.24(.14)**	
h10.	.20(.06)**	-.28(.17)*	.20(.06)**	-.28(.17)*	--	--	--	--
h11.	.13(.07)*	.33(.18)**	.21(.08)**	.42(.18)**	--	-.21(.14)	--	--

Note: Cell entries are unstandardized OLS regression coefficients, \*\* p < .05, \* p < .10 (one-tailed tests applied as appropriate), -- indicates variable dropped for lack of effect. See Table A2.1 for univariate statistics. See Table 7.7 for conditional coefficients calculated from these results.

Source: *DCS-In-Depth97*, N=40.

## Appendix A2: DCS – In-Depth Interviews 1997

**Table A2.8: Cognitive Capacity**

DVs	Bivariate model:		Multivariate model:					
	$Y=b_0+b_1(\text{authoritarianism})$		$Y=b_0+b_1(\text{auth})+b_2(\text{Black primary})+b_3(\text{Black partner})+b_4(\text{auth*Bl. primary})+b_5(\text{auth*Bl. partner})$					
	b <sub>0</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>1</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>0</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>1</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>2</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>3</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>4</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>5</sub> (s.e.)
i1.	.20(.06)**	-.33(.15)**	.20(.06)**	-.33(.15)**	--	--	--	--
i2.	.07(.04)*	-.19(.11)**	.11(.06)*	-.29(.11)**	-.18(.09)**	.07(.09)	--	--
i3.	.70(.07)**	.18(.19)	.75(.08)**	.49(.21)**	--	.08(.12)	--	-.88(.30)**
i4.	.20(.06)**	.22(.16)*	.20(.06)**	.22(.16)*	--	--	--	--

Note: Cell entries are unstandardized OLS regression coefficients, \*\* p < .05, \* p < .10 (one-tailed tests applied as appropriate), -- indicates variable dropped for lack of effect. See Table A2.1 for univariate statistics. See Table 7.8 for conditional coefficients calculated from these results.

Source: *DCS-In-Depth97*, N=40.

## Appendix A2: DCS – In-Depth Interviews 1997

**Table A2.9: Interview Content – Racial Animosity, Prejudice and Discrimination**

DVs	Bivariate model: $Y=b_0+b_1(\text{authoritarianism})$		Multivariate model: $Y=b_0+b_1(\text{auth})+b_2(\text{Black primary})+b_3(\text{Black partner})+b_4(\text{auth*Bl. primary})+b_5(\text{auth*Bl. partner})$ ~or~ $Y=b_0+b_1(\text{authoritarianism})+b_{23}(\text{either interviewer Black})+b_{45}(\text{auth*either interviewer Black})$					
	b <sub>0</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>1</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>0</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>1</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>2</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>3</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>4</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>5</sub> (s.e.)
	j1.	.20(.06)**	.34(.15)**	.23(.07)**	.50(.16)**	-.18(.08)**	--	-.64(.22)**
j2.	.25(.07)**	.27(.16)**	.29(.11)**	.54(.25)**	-.04(.14)	-.11(.15)	-.53(.33)*	-.13(.34)
j3.	.15(.06)**	.20(.13)*	.15(.10)	.40(.22)**	-.14(.08)*	.16(.17)	-.44(.19)**	-.46(.42)
j4.	.10(.05)**	.19(.09)**	.17(.09)*	.33(.22)*	-.16(.08)**	-.00(.12)	-.24(.15)*	-.29(.23)
j5.	.20(.06)**	-.32(.15)**	.20(.06)**	-.32(.15)**	--	--	--	--
j6.	.25(.07)**	-.18(.18)	.21(.08)**	-.67(.25)**	.03(.12)		.75(.33)**	
j7.	.07(.04)*	-.10(.11)	.10(.07)	-.35(.18)**	-.07(.09)		.40(.22)**	
j8.	.45(.07)**	.45(.18)**	.53(.12)**	.76(.29)**	-.23(.16)*	-.06(.18)	-.76(.41)**	-.25(.45)
j9.	.43(.08)**	-.30(.20)*	.25(.08)**	-.92(.24)**	.15(.15)	.36(.16)**	.87(.39)**	.45(.40)
j10.	.15(.06)**	.11(.14)	.15(.09)	.43(.23)**	-.06(.09)	.06(.16)	-.60(.25)**	-.42(.42)
j11.	.13(.05)**	.23(.11)**	.07(.05)	.10(.08)*	.27(.15)**	--	.67(.34)**	--

Note: Cell entries are unstandardized OLS regression coefficients, \*\* p < .05, \* p < .10 (one-tailed tests applied as appropriate), -- indicates variable dropped for lack of effect. See Table A2.1 for univariate statistics. See Table 8.1 for conditional coefficients calculated from these results.

Source: DCS-In-Depth97, N=40.

## Appendix A2: DCS – In-Depth Interviews 1997

**Table A2.10: Interview Content – Ethnocentrism, Patriotism and Politics**

DVs	Bivariate model: $Y=b_0+b_1(\text{authoritarianism})$		Multivariate model: $Y=b_0+b_1(\text{auth})+b_2(\text{Black primary})+b_3(\text{Black partner})+b_4(\text{auth*Bl. primary})+b_5(\text{auth*Bl. partner})$ ~or~ $Y=b_0+b_1(\text{authoritarianism})+b_{23}(\text{either interviewer Black})+b_{45}(\text{auth*either interviewer Black})$					
	b <sub>0</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>1</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>0</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>1</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>2</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>3</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>4</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>5</sub> (s.e.)
	k1.	.45(.07)**	-.50(.19)**	.45(.07)**	-.50(.19)**	--	--	--
k2.	.15(.05)**	-.41(.14)**	.15(.05)**	-.41(.14)**	--	--	--	--
k3.	.08(.04)*	.12(.07)**	.08(.04)*	.12(.07)**	--	--	--	--
k4.	.08(.04)*	.17(.10)**	-.00(.00)	-.00(.00)		.11(.06)*		.23(.14)**
k5.	.30(.07)**	.25(.18)*	.30(.07)**	.25(.18)*	--	--	--	--
k6.	.23(.07)**	-.09(.18)	.20(.08)**	-.29(.23)*	--	-.07(.11)	--	.60(.30)**
k7.	.33(.08)**	.04(.20)	.16(.09)*	.53(.22)**	.48(.16)**	.01(.13)	-.51(.41)	-.37(.36)
k8.	.08(.04)*	-.21(.11)*	.15(.07)**	-.40(.20)**	-.15(.07)**	--	.40(.20)**	--
k9.	.50(.08)**	.14(.20)	.66(.11)**	.65(.30)**		-.21(.15)		-.72(.40)**
k10.	.42(.08)**	-.25(.19)*	.34(.11)**	-.65(.30)**		.10(.15)		.59(.39)*

Note: Cell entries are unstandardized OLS regression coefficients, \*\* p < .05, \* p < .10 (one-tailed tests applied as appropriate), -- indicates variable dropped for lack of effect. See Table A2.1 for univariate statistics. See Table 8.2 for conditional coefficients calculated from these results.

Source: *DCS-In-Depth97*, N=40.

## Appendix A2: DCS – In-Depth Interviews 1997

**Table A2.11: Interview Content – Morality and Discipline, Crime and Punishment**

DVs	Bivariate model: $Y=b_0+b_1(\text{authoritarianism})$		Multivariate model: $Y=b_0+b_1(\text{auth})+b_2(\text{Black primary})+b_3(\text{Black partner})+b_4(\text{auth*Bl. primary})+b_5(\text{auth*Bl. partner})$ ~or~ $Y=b_0+b_1(\text{authoritarianism})+b_{23}(\text{either interviewer Black})+b_{45}(\text{auth*either interviewer Black})$					
	b <sub>0</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>1</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>0</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>1</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>2</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>3</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>4</sub> (s.e.)	b <sub>5</sub> (s.e.)
	11.	.43(.07)**	.52(.18)**	.47(.12)**	.80(.31)**	-.02(.17)	.05(.18)	-.09(.43)
12.	.22(.06)**	-.47(.15)**	.31(.09)**	-.99(.23)**		-.19(.11)*		.88(.29)**
13.	.10(.05)**	-.01(.12)	.10(.08)	-.35(.21)**		-.03(.10)		.53(.25)**
14.	.20(.06)**	.39(.15)**	.20(.06)**	.39(.15)**	--	--	--	--
15.	.18(.06)**	.20(.13)*	.25(.11)**	.57(.26)**		-.09(.14)		-.53(.30)**
16.	.15(.05)**	.29(.12)**	.15(.05)**	.29(.12)**	--	--	--	--
17.	.15(.05)**	.28(.12)**	.23(.10)**	.51(.22)**	--	-.11(.12)	--	-.43(.24)**
18.	.10(.05)**	.17(.09)**	.14(.06)**	.18(.11)**	-.14(.06)**	--	-.18(.11)**	--
19.	.33(.07)**	.38(.18)**	.27(.12)**	.20(.29)	.21(.16)	.01(.15)	.60(.41)*	.09(.35)
110.	.15(.05)**	.26(.11)**	.04(.08)	-.01(.16)	.24(.14)**	.16(.12)*	.73(.30)**	.05(.22)

Note: Cell entries are unstandardized OLS regression coefficients, \*\* p < .05, \* p < .10 (one-tailed tests applied as appropriate), -- indicates variable dropped for lack of effect. See Table A2.1 for univariate statistics. See Table 8.3 for conditional coefficients calculated from these results.

Source: *DCS-In-Depth97*, N=40.